Electron donors in carbocationic polymerization

IV. Preparation of narrow-dispersity tert.-chlorine-capped polyisobutylene by the *trans-2,5-diacetoxy-2,5-dimethyl-3.hexene/BCI3/dimethyl* **sulfoxide system***

Miklos Zsuga and J.P. Kennedy**

Institute of Polymer Science, University of Akron, Akron, OH 44325-3909, USA

SUMMARY

Low molecular weight_(\overline{M}_{Ω} ~900-5000) narrow molecular weight distribution (MWD; $\overline{M}_W/\overline{M}_n = 1.1-1.2$) tert.-chlorine telechelic polyisobutylenes (PIBs) have been synthesized by the use of the trans-2,5-dimethyl-2,5-diacetoxy-3-hexene $(DiOACDMeH_6)/BC1_3$ initiating system in the presence of the electron donor (ED) dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) in methyl chloride diluent at -30°C. The living character of the polymerization was demonstrated by linear M_n versus W_n (weight of polymer) plots starting at the origin with the slope of $1/\left[1_{\Omega}\right]$ (where $[I_{\rm o}]$ = initiator concentration). DMSO reduces the overall rate of polymerization, however, it increases the initiator efficiency (I_{eff}) to ~10<u>0</u>%. The number average <u>ter</u>t.chlorine end functionality is F $_{\rm n}$ = 1.97 ± 0.04, by 'H NMR spectroscopy. Polymerization mechanistic details are discussed. This is the first time narrow MWD tert.-chlorine telechelic PIB has been prepared close to the reflux temperature of methyl chloride.

INTRODUCTION

An important objective of our studies on living carbocationic polymerization is the preparation of liquid narrowdispersity tert.-chlorine-capped PIBs by a simple low-cost process. The synthesis of these products by cumyl-type initiators is often cumbersome on account of the formation of undesirable indanyl end-structures whose suppression requires the use of low temperatures (e.g., -78°C) and polar-nonpolar solvent mixtures (e.g., *CH3Cl/n-CsH14)* (i). Earlier research has also shown that the aliphatic initiating system DiOAcDMeH₆/BCl₃ gives tert.-chlorine-telechelic PIB by living polymerization, however, due to relatively slow initiation $(\bar{2})$ the lower limit of molecular weight was about $\overline{M}_\Pi \sim 2500$. This research concerns the synthesis of very low molecular weight (liquid) narrow MWD tert.-chlorine-telechelic PIBs by a new living carbocationic polymerization method (3,4) using the DiOAcDMeH₆/BCl₃ system in the presence of DMSO in a single solvent at relatively high temperatures.

EXPERIMENTAL
Materials. The synthesis of DiOAcDMeH₆ (2) and the source of the other materials used have been published (5). DMSO (Fisher Scientific) was used as received.

^{*} Paper XXVII in the series on Living Carbocationic Polymerization. For paper XXVI see Kaszas et al.,

J. Macromol. Sci. Chem. to appear (1989) **Visiting scientist, permanent address: Department of Applied Chemistry, Kossuth Lajos University, H-4010 Debrecen, Hungary

Polymerizations. Polymerizations were carried out in a $\frac{dy}{dx}$ box under a dry N, atmosphere. Procedural details have been described (5).

Characterization. Molecular weights and molecular weight distributions were determined by GPC (5). ¹H NMR spectra were recorded by a Varian 60 instrument. Details concerning instrumentation and procedures have been described (5).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Kinetic and MWD Studies

In view of its high donicity (6) DMSO was selected as the ED used in these investigations. Figure 1 shows the results of a duplicate series of IB polymerizations and demonstrates that the DiOAcDMeH₆/BCl₃/DMSO system yields living polymerization and that the PIBs exhibit close to Poisson MWD even at \overline{M}_{n} s below ~1000. Specifically, the linear \overline{M}_{n} versus W_p plot obtained by the IMA technique (5) starting from the origin and having a slope of $1/[DiOACDMEH_{6}]$, together with the narrow MWD products rigorously prove living polymerizations. While in the presence of DMSO average conversions are ~20% and I_{eff} ~100%, in the absence of DMSO the same system rapidly yields ~100% conversions but I_{eff}'s are much lower than ~100% (2). A mechanistic interpretation is given in Section C.

Figure i. The \overline{M}_{n} versus W_{p} plot for DiOAcDMeH₆/BCl₃/IB/DMSO systems. (Duplicate series of experiments, IMA technique, 5x[IB] = 0.24 mole/L per 30 mins., [DiOAcDMeH₆] = 3.8 x 10⁻³ mole/L, [BCl₃ = 2.7·10⁻² mole/L, [DMSO] = 5.6 $\cdot 10^{-3}$ mole/L, MeCl, -35°C, total initial vol. 25 mL. The solid line shows theoretical M_n values for I_{eff} = 100%. The numbers are $\overline{\mathbb{M}}_{w}/\overline{\mathbb{M}}_{n}$ values.)

A further series of experiments have been carried out to determine the rate of living polymerization obtained by the *DiOAcDMeH6/BCI3/IB/DMSO* system. Table I and Figures 2 and 3 show the data. The living <u>n</u>ature of the system has again been proven by the usual linear $\texttt{M}_{\texttt{n}}$ versus $\texttt{W}_{\texttt{D}}$ plot and associated horizontal N versus W_D plot (with the intercept at [DiOAcDMeH $_{6}$]).

 $T_{\text{max}} = T$

60 47.5 3.10 4.62 1.21 88 90 53.2 3.05 4.56 1.18 96

*Control, in the absence of DMSO; [IB] = 0.239 mole/L

Figure 2. The M $_{\rm n}$ versus W $_{\rm p}$ plot constructed from the data of Table I. (The $\texttt{M}_{\texttt{n}}$ values are normalized to the average initiator concentration).

According to the rate data shown in Figure 3 conversions increase with time in a characteristic manner. After a brief period the polymerization becomes apparently first order in monomer. The linear $ln(1-C)$ versus time plot ($C =$ conversion) indicates that the concentration of living species is constant over a wide time-range. (Inset in Figure 3). The apparent discrepancy between these rate data and those obtained by the

IMA technique in this work (see first paragraph in this section) and by Kaszas et al. (3,7) has been noted. While Figure 3 suggests polymerization apparently first order in monomer the data generated by the conventional (IMA) technique suggest an apparently zero order process. The reason for this apparent contradiction may be due to the different experimental techniques used. The accuracy of the data is insufficient to resolve this dilemma at the present time.

B. Structure Characterization

The structure of PIBs obtained in the presence of DMSO has been analyzed by $1H$ NMR spectroscopy. Figure 4 shows a representative spectrum together with assignments. On account of the low M_n all the resonances can be readily identified. Integration relative to the internal standard (=CH-) yields $\overline{M}_{\rm n}$ = 1000 which is in good agreement with the datum obtained by GPC $(\overline{M}_n = 1070)$.

The number average tert.-chlorine end functionality \bar{F}_n was obtained by integrating the resonances associated with the tert.-chlorine end groups ($\delta = 1.60$ and 1.83 ppm) relative to the sum of aliphatic protons in the repeat units and the initiator fragment, and using the equation:

$$
\overline{F}_n = \frac{\overline{DP}_n \cdot N_1 + N_3}{N_2} \cdot \frac{I_1}{I_2}
$$

where N_1 , N_2 and N_3 are, respectively, the number of protons in a repeat unit, end group, and initiator fragment; I_1 is the intensity of end group protons and I_2 that of all other aliphatic protons. According to this analysis $\overline{F}_n = 1.97 \pm$ 0.04, indicating the formation of perfectly tert.-chlorine

telechelic PIBs within what is considered to be experimental error.

Figure 4. ^IH NMR spectrum of a low molecular weight ($M_{n,GPC}$ = 1070).

C. Mechanistic Speculations

In a previous publication of this series (8) it was postulated that initiation of living carbocationic polymerizations of isobutylene induced by tert.-ester/BCl, systems may under certain conditions involve the formation of tert.-chlorides. According to this view the DiOAcDMeH₆/BCl₃ system may yield the trans-2,5-dichloro-2,5-dimethyl-3-hexene (DiClDMeH₆) initiator and upon polymerization tert.-chloride terminated PIBs. This question was addressed by comparing the results of polymerizations obtained with DiOAcDMeH₆/BCl₃ and DiClDMeH₅/ $BCI₃$ systems butotherwise under virtually identical conditions. Table II shows the conditions employed and the data. Evidently the polymerization induced by the diacetate/BCl₃ is much faster than that by the dichloride/BCl₃ system; also the \overline{M}_n and $\overline{\mathtt{M}}_{\mathsf{w}}/\overline{\mathtt{M}}_{\mathsf{n}}$ data are quite different. Therefore in the DiOAcDMeH₆/ BCI ^{*} system the diacetate is the true initiator and it is not rapidly converted to the corresponding dichloride.

Aging (premixing) of DiOAcDMeH₆ plus BCl, yielded multimodal polymer indicating the presence of a multiplicity of active centers arising from the diacetate, although the aging time ($\mathrel{\mathsf{v}}5$ min) was much longer than necessary to produce $\mathrel{\mathsf{v}}100\%$ conversion in the absence of aging. Figure 5 shows GPC traces of products obtained in the absence and presence of aged initiating systems.

In the absence of DMSO polymerizations rapidly yield 100% conversions, however, I_{eff} is significantly lower than 100% (2); see also "control" in Table I. Evidently, the rate of initiation (most likely cationation (2)) is low relative to propagation and the monomer is depleted before all the initiator is consumed. In the presence of DMSO and an excess of $BCI₃$ rapid complexation will occur giving rise to DMSO.BCl₃ or

similar species (DMSO \cdot 2BCl $_3$, etc.). As discussed in the previous paper of this series (8), the DMSO \cdot BC 1_{3} complex is probably sufficiently nucleophilic to modulate (stabilize) the polymerization-active carbocations but not to deactivate them completely. As demonstrated by detailed studies (3,8) DMSO-BCl₃ complexes very beneficially affect carbocationic polymerizations, i.e., they suppress or eliminate undesirable side reactions, allow living polymerizations to arise in otherwise nonliving systems, give rise to very narrow MWD.

TABLE II

Polymerization of Isobutylene by DiOAcDMeH₆ and $DiClDMeH_6/BC1_3$ Initiating Systems (MeCl, -30°C, [BCl $_3$] = 0.11 mole/L, [DiOAcDMeH $_6$]=[DiClDMeH $_6$]= 4.10"° mole/L, 10 min., 25 mL)

* Absence of initiator

** 30 min., $[BC1_3] = 0.256$ mole/L, data from ref. (9)

Figure 5. GPC traces of PIBs obtained with i) No aging; 2) After 5 min. aging. (For exptl, conds, see "control" in Table II).

The following equations help to visualize the mechanism envisioned: Ion Generation

c., cH, c., c., The polymerization starts by ionization $ACO-C-HC=CH-C-OAC + BCL₃ \rightarrow BC1₃ \cdot ACC-C-CH=CH-C-OAC + BC₁ \cdot \text{R}$
 C_{H_3} C_{H_3} C_{H_3} C_{H_3} of the DiOAcDMeH₆ \cdot BCl₃ DiOAcDMeH 6 complex. The ionicity of $A^{\overline{\theta}}G^{\overline{\theta}}$ (for allylic CH_3 CH_3 $[BC1, ACO]^\Theta \cdots \Theta_{C-CH=CH-C}^{\dagger}$ $\Theta \cdots$ $[OACBC1, P^\Theta$ cation) is the subject $\overline{\text{c}}_{\text{H}_3}$ $\overline{\text{c}}_{\text{H}_3}$ of intense study and $A^{\bigoplus}G^{\bigoplus}$ interest; the dotted ED Complexation of Initiating Cation line symbolism is to $DMSO + nBC1$ _s \longrightarrow DMSO $\cdot nBC1$ _s emphasize uncertainty in this regard. Cat- $A^{\oplus}G^{\oplus}$ + DMSO.nBCl, ionation and subsequent V CH, CHs propagation may occur **IBC1, OAc] 9. [DMSO · nBC1,]..? cH=CH-C?..** [DMSO · nBC1,]... [BC1 OAc]⁰ by A^wG^o (to A-IB^wG^o **t** сн, etc.) or by A^{\biguplus} ED G⁰ λ^{θ.} ΕD- Gθ (to A-IB^{o.}ED.G , etc.). Cationation All the cationic spec- $A^{\oplus}G^{\ominus}$ + CH₂=C(CH₃)₂ ies A^wG^e, A-IB^wGe and $\frac{k_c}{1B}$ A-PIB^{ogo} may complex with the ED (in fact CH_3 CH_3 CH_3 CH_3 with the $DMSO \cdot BCl_s$ or $[BC1, ACO]^{\Theta}$ \cdots Θ C - CH_2 - C - CH = CH_2 - CH_2 - C^{Θ} \cdots $[OACBC1, 1^{\Theta}$ similar species in the $^{\prime}$ CH, $^{\prime}$ CH, $^{\prime}$ CH, $^{\prime}$ CH, system). $A-IB^{\oplus}G^{\ominus}$ $\frac{k_p}{1B}$ pzn. to $A-PIB^{\theta}G^{\theta}$ Cationation by ED-Complexed Initiating Cation A^{\bigoplus} · ED · G \bigoplus + CH₂ = C(CH₃)₂ \searrow _{kc}, ED Ñ IB $CH₃$ CH₃ CH₃ CH₃ [BCl, OAc]⁰...[DMSO·nBCl,]...[@]C-CH₂-C-CH=CH-C-CH₂-C[@]..[DMSO·nBCl, L[BCl, OAc]⁰ CH_3 CH_3 CH_3 CH_3 CH_3 $A-IB^{\bigoplus}$ $ED-G^{\bigominus}$ $\frac{F/2D}{IB}$ pzn. to A-PIB^w·ED .

The following scheme helps to simplify and generalize these relationships :

DiOACDMeH₆

\n
$$
AB
$$
\n
$$
AB
$$
\n
$$
AB
$$
\n
$$
A - I B \theta G \theta
$$
\n
$$
B
$$
\n
$$
A - I B \theta G \theta
$$
\n
$$
B
$$
\n
$$
A - I B \theta G \theta
$$
\n
$$
B
$$
\n
$$
B
$$
\n
$$
A - I B \theta G \theta
$$
\n
$$
B
$$
\n

The equilibrium constants K_1 and K_2 are not equal $(K_1 \frac{1}{2} K_2)$ as they relate to different cations (allylic and tertiary). The equilibrium constants $K_2 \ldots K_n$, however, are very similar since they relate to essentially the same propagating structures. In regard to the rate constants, most likely $k_C > k_C$, ED and $k_p \gg k_p$, E , i.e., cationation and propagation by the uncomplexed cations are much faster than by the ED-complexed species. As a consequence of complexation the reactivity of the growing centers decreases and the overall rates of both cationation and propagation will diminish (8). Conceivably, the reactivityreduction by ED (i.e., DMSO) complexation of the more reactive propagating tert.-cation will be larger than that of the more stable cationating allylic-cation, i.e., $R_\text{p-Rp, DMSO}$ $^{\cdot \mathsf{R_C-R_C}}$, DMSO or AR $_\mathrm{D}$ >AR $_\mathrm{C}$ where R $_\mathrm{D}$ and R $_\mathrm{C}$ are the rates of propagation and cationation, respectively. Due to the relatively larger reduction in the rate of propagation, the rate of initiation (i.e., rate of ion generation plus cationation) will correspondingly increase, $R_i > R_p$, so that the MWDs will become narrower. Thus in the presence of DMSO initiation will go to completion before propagation would consume the monomer so that I_{eff} will increase to ~100%.

The present data also corroborates our earlier conclusion (2) that with the DiOAcDMeH₆/BCl₃ system in the absence of DMSO the rate determining step is cationation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This material is based on research supported by the NSF under DMR-84-18617 and INT-86-07993.

REFERENCES

- i. R. Faust, A. Nagy and J. P. Kennedy, J. Macromol. Sci. Chem., $A24(6)$, 595 (1987)
- 2. M. Zsuga, J. P. Kennedy, T. Kelen, J. Macromol. Sci. Chem., in press
- 3 G. Kaszas, J. Puskas, J. P. Kennedy, and C. C. Chen, Polym. Bull. 2_~0, 413 (1988)
- 4. G. Kaszas, J. Puskas and J. P. Kennedy, U.S. Patent Application
- 5. R. Faust and J. P. Kennedy, J. Polym. Sci., Part A, Polym. Chem., 25, 1847 (1987)
- 6. V. Guttmann, The Donor-Acceptor Approach to Molecular Interactions, Plenum Press, New York and London, 1978
- 7 G. Kaszas, J. Puskas and J. P. Kennedy, J. Macromol. Chem. 13/14, 475 (1988)
- 8. G. Kaszas, J. Puskas, J. P. Kennedy and C. C. Chen, J. Macromol. Sci., Chem., in press
- 9. S. Mah, R. Faust, M. Zsuga and J. P. Kennedy, Polym. Bull. 18, 433 (1987)

Accepted November 3, 1988 K